



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,050	01/25/2006	Ji-Hyun Kim	Q90861	8300
23373	7590	05/01/2007	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			VAKILI, ZOHREH	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			1614	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

TH

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/554,050	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zohreh Vakili	1614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 January 2007.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/2007.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.

Applicant's Amendment filed January 25, 2007 has been received and entered into the present application. Accordingly, claims 1-5 are currently amended. Claim 6 is newly added. Claims 1-6 are pending and are herein examined on the merits.

Applicant's arguments, filed January 25, 2007, have been fully considered. Rejections not reiterated from previous Office Actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set of rejections presently being applied to the instant application.

Newly submitted claims 1-5 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the claims originally submitted were directed to composition claims and not method claims. Composition and methods are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used for appetite suppression and lowering blood cholesterol level.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for

prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1-5 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Only claim 6 is herein examined on the merits. Since it is the only claim directed toward a composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 (New Grounds of Rejection)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramazanov et al. (US Patent No. 7202222 B2), taken with Waggle et al. (US Patent No. 6669952 B2), and further in view of Graham (Prev. Med. 1992 May; 21(3):334-50).

Ramazanov et al. teach the compositions of the present invention may include additional ingredients known for use in promoting weight loss and in treating obesity those used in other weight-loss promoting formulations; including, for example, Siberian Rhodiola rosea and Rhaponticum carthamoides root extract, L-carnitine, green tea, citrus extract, and chromium picolinate (see col. 6, lines 35-40).

Waggle et al. teach a composition is provided comprising a plant sterol and a soy protein material and/or and isoflavone selected from genistein, daidzein, glycinein, biochanin A, formononetin, and their naturally occurring glycosides, where the plant sterol comprises at least 0.49% of the composition, by weight. The present invention is also a method for decreasing the blood concentration of total and LDL cholesterol in a human in which the plant sterol and a soy protein material and/or an isoflavone are co-administered to the human, where the plant sterol comprises at least 0.49%, by weight, of the combined weight of the plant sterol and the soy protein material and/or the isoflavone. Also provided is a method for preventing or minimizing the development of atherosclerosis in a human in which a plant sterol and a soy protein material and/or an isoflavone are co-administered to the human, where the plant sterol comprises at least 0.49%, by weight, of the combined weight of the plant sterol and the soy protein material and/or the isoflavone. A preferred composition contains an isoflavone material free of soy bean protein and at least 0.49% by weight of plant sterol. The isoflavone

Art Unit: 1614

can be genistein, daidzein, glycitein, biochanin A, formononetin, and their naturally occurring glycosides and glycoside conjugates. The plant sterol can be B-sitosterol, campesterol, stigmasterol, sitostanol, or campestanol (see abstract). Waggle et al. further disclose in another preferred embodiment dietary supplements incorporating the plant sterol and the soy protein material and/or the isoflavone can be prepared by adding each of the components to a food as a food ingredient, or by adding a mixture of the components to a food as a food ingredient. The foods to which these components may be added include almost all foods, but most preferably are foods in which soy protein materials or vegetable oils containing plant sterols are used as functional ingredients. For example, the plant sterol and the soy protein material and/or the isoflavone can be added to foods including, but not limited to, meats such as ground meats, emulsified meats, and marinated meats; beverages such as nutritional beverages, sports beverages, protein fortified beverages, juices, milk, milk alternatives, and weight loss beverages; cheeses such as hard and soft cheeses, cream cheese, and cottage cheese; frozen desserts such as ice cream, ice milk, low fat frozen desserts, and non-dairy frozen desserts; yogurts; soups; puddings; bakery products; salad dressings; and dips and spreads such as mayonnaise and chip dips. Most preferably the plant sterol and the soy protein material, with or without the isoflavones, are mixed in aqueous slurry to form an emulsion which is particularly useful in emulsive foods and beverages such as salad dressings, yogurts, soy cheeses, emulsified meats, and powdered beverages (see col. 13, lines 8-32).

Graham discloses green tea components are catechins, caffeine , and theanine (see abstract).

Removing cellulite is an intended use for the composition, and an intended use does not have a patentable distinction in a composition claim.

Clearly, the skilled artisan is provided with ample instruction and motivation to use theanine, caffeine, genistein, L-carnitine, and catechin in composition for removing cellulites. Ramazanov et al. disclose a composition with ingredients for weight loss including L-carnitine and green tea. Graham defines the components of green tea are catechins, caffeine, and theanine. Waggle et al. use isoflavone, for example, genistein in a dietary supplement for weight loss. The skilled artisan is motivated to make compositions of the well known ingredients known for treating obesity, appetite suppressors, and lowering blood cholesterol, all teachings are directed toward removal of excess fat from the body. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references and as combined teach the invention as claimed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the prior arts, since the references teach the same ingredients, genistein, theanine, catechin, caffeine, and L-carnitine that are instantly claimed and are directed to compositions for weight loss.

Where the claimed and prior arts ingredients of a composition are identical a *prima facie* case of obviousness has been established. Thus the claimed invention was within the ordinary skill in the art to make and use at the time the claimed invention was made and as a whole, *prima facie* obvious.

Conclusion

No claims of the present application are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office Action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Vakili whose telephone number is 571-272-3099. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 6:00pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner
Zohreh Vakili
Art Unit 1614

April 27, 2007

Zohreh Vakili 4/20/07
ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Zohreh Vakili 4/20/07

Application/Control Number: 10/554,050
Art Unit: 1614

Page 9